

**From:** Mathy Stanislaus/DC/USEPA/US  
**Sent:** 1/25/2012 8:08:32 PM  
**To:** Shawn Garvin/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; Bob Sussman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
**CC:** Arvin Ganesan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA; Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US@EPA; Brendan Gilfillan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA; Feldt.Lisa@epamail.epa.gov  
**Subject:** Re: Cabot CEO to Jackson: Don't Undercut President's SOTU Message on NatGas

Has a call been scheduled? 10:30 works for me.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Shawn Garvin  
**Sent:** 01/25/2012 07:54 PM EST  
**To:** Bob Sussman  
**Cc:** Arvin Ganesan; Betsaida Alcantara; Brendan Gilfillan; Mathy Stanislaus  
**Subject:** Re: Cabot CEO to Jackson: Don't Undercut President's SOTU Message on NatGas

Bob - There were three versions of the desk statement (long, short and shortest). Let's talk more tomorrow.

Shawn

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Bob Sussman  
**Sent:** 01/25/2012 07:27 PM EST  
**To:** Shawn Garvin  
**Cc:** Arvin Ganesan; Betsaida Alcantara; Brendan Gilfillan; Mathy Stanislaus  
**Subject:** Re: Cabot CEO to Jackson: Don't Undercut President's SOTU Message on NatGas

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Robert M. Sussman  
Senior Policy Counsel to the Administrator  
Office of the Administrator  
US Environmental Protection Agency

**From:** Shawn Garvin/R3/USEPA/US  
**To:** Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Cc:** Arvin Ganesan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Bob Sussman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Brendan Gilfillan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Mathy Stanislaus/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Date:** 01/25/2012 07:11 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Cabot CEO to Jackson: Don't Undercut President's SOTU Message on NatGas

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Betsaida Alcantara  
**Sent:** 01/25/2012 06:59 PM EST  
**To:** Shawn Garvin  
**Cc:** Arvin Ganesan; Bob Sussman; Brendan Gilfillan; Mathy Stanislaus  
**Subject:** Re: Cabot CEO to Jackson: Don't Undercut President's SOTU Message on NatGas

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Shawn Garvin

**Sent:** 01/25/2012 06:58 PM EST

**To:** Betsaida Alcantara

**Cc:** Arvin Ganesan; Bob Sussman; Brendan Gilfillan; Mathy Stanislaus

**Subject:** Re: Cabot CEO to Jackson: Don't Undercut President's SOTU Message on NatGas

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Thanks

**From:** Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US

**To:** Shawn Garvin/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Bob Sussman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Brendan Gilfillan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Arvin Ganesan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Mathy Stanislaus/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

**Date:** 01/25/2012 06:53 PM

**Subject:** Re: Cabot CEO to Jackson: Don't Undercut President's SOTU Message on NatGas

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Shawn Garvin

**Sent:** 01/25/2012 06:51 PM EST

**To:** Betsaida Alcantara; Bob Sussman; Brendan Gilfillan; Arvin Ganesan; Mathy Stanislaus

**Subject:** Re: Cabot CEO to Jackson: Don't Undercut President's SOTU Message on NatGas

I have a management exchange with all my supervisors in the morning. Have a break at about 10:15. Can we do it then?

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Thanks

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Betsaida Alcantara

**Sent:** 01/25/2012 06:25 PM EST

**To:** Shawn Garvin; Bob Sussman; Brendan Gilfillan; Arvin Ganesan; Mathy Stanislaus

**Subject:** Cabot CEO to Jackson: Don't Undercut President's SOTU Message on NatGas

See below. Can we meet first thing in the morning? 10am, 10:30am?

----- Forwarded by Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US on 01/25/2012 06:23 PM -----

**From:** Mike Soraghan <msoraghan@eenews.net>

**To:** Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

**Date:** 01/25/2012 06:05 PM

**Subject:** FW: Cabot CEO to Jackson: Don't Undercut President's SOTU Message on NatGas

Are you commenting, we're running in EE Daily. The usual deadline is 9 or 10 pm, but we can probably add early in the morning before publication also

Mike S.  
202-709-3699

**From:** Maisano, Frank [Frank.Maisano@bglip.com]

**Sent:** Wednesday, January 25, 2012 5:32 PM

**To:** Mike Soraghan; John McArdle; Elana Schor; Josh Kurtz

**Subject:** Cabot CEO to Jackson: Don't Undercut President's SOTU Message on NatGas

Thought you might find the following letter interesting. It focuses on the inconsistency of the President's State of the Union message on natural gas last night and EPA's overaggressive regulatory actions in states places like Dimock, PA, Pavillion, WY and Parker Co., TX. Please call with questions.

Frank Maisano

202-828-5864

c. 202-997-5932

January 26, 2012

Administrator Lisa P. Jackson  
Environmental Protection Agency  
Ariel Rios Building  
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20460

Dear Administrator Jackson:

We understand that the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) intends to test water wells in the area of Dimock, Pennsylvania. As developers in the area, we have been working closely with the Dimock community and with state and local regulators on concerns in the area. We are concerned that EPA's actions can be easily misinterpreted and can undermine regulatory certainty necessary for development of oil and natural gas.

President Obama in his State of the Union address strongly highlighted the importance of shale gas development as a key aspect of this country's national energy policy and economic recovery. EPA's actions in Dimock appear to undercut the President's stated commitment to this important resource, even in light of EPA's regulatory mission.

We are disappointed that EPA has undertaken a course regarding water sampling that seems inconsistent with what is known about Dimock and what was recommended by state regulators. EPA's approach has caused confusion that undermines important policy goals of the United States to ensure safe, reliable, secure and clean energy sources from domestic natural gas. Specifically:

1. EPA has presented no credible evidence to suggest that its new sampling initiative is a wise use of resources given the collection and analysis of over 2000 water wells that has already occurred in the area. Over ten thousand pages of this data has been provided to the EPA.
2. EPA's concerns are inconsistent with the findings of state regulators who have concluded after extensive investigation that Dimock drinking water meets regulatory standards. State regulators are closest to the facts, and most familiar with ground water and geological formations in the area.
3. EPA's initiative marks a change in position for the Agency, unsupported by any new facts. As recently as December 2011, EPA told Dimock residents that their drinking water did not present a health threat.

The President made a strong call to all Americans last night to take advantage of the new opportunities in shale gas development. To prevent uncertainty and further advance these opportunities, in our view, what is needed is an objective approach by EPA to dealing with community concerns - something missing in recent EPA actions. EPA's changing posture on sampling in Dimock is indicative of a broader problem of inconsistency with scientific process and a lack of cooperation with state and private sector parties. Cabot hopes that we can work with EPA to further review existing data and to establish a firmer basis for Agency decision making.

We would be happy to meet with you or your staff to discuss the Dimock situation. Please let us know if we can provide you with further information or ask additional questions.

Sincerely,

Dan O. Dinges  
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

[attachment "120126\_EPA Letter\_Administrator Jackson.pdf" deleted by Shawn Garvin/R3/USEPA/US]

[attachment "ATT00001.htm" deleted by Shawn Garvin/R3/USEPA/US]